

# Large Deviation Bound for a General Form of Ranking Problem

**Proposed by:** Shivani Agarwal

**Problem Area:** Machine learning

**Tools:** Probability, statistics

**Motivation.** Consider the following example. Alice is shown a finite number of movies  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , each drawn from some set  $X$ , and for each pair of movies  $(x_i, x_j)$  ( $i < j$ ), she is asked to provide a preference label  $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  indicating her preference for movie  $x_i$  over  $x_j$  (with  $r_{ij} > 0$  indicating a preference for movie  $x_i$ ;  $r_{ij} < 0$  indicating a preference for movie  $x_j$ ; and  $r_{ij} = 0$  indicating an equal liking for the two movies). Say we build a ranking or scoring function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to predict Alice's preferences on new movies in  $X$ : the higher the score assigned by  $f$  to a movie  $x$ , the greater we predict that Alice will like that movie. Then given a new pair of movies  $(x, x') \in X \times X$  for which Alice's true preference would be  $r$ , the error or loss we incur in predicting her preference for this pair of movies using  $f$  can be written as

$$\ell(f, (x, x', r)) = \mathbf{1}_{(r(f(x)-f(x')) < 0)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(f(x)=f(x'))} \mathbf{1}_{(r \neq 0)}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_{(\phi)}$  is 1 if  $\phi$  is true and 0 otherwise. In order to design a good ranking function  $f$ , we need to estimate the error incurred by  $f$  on new movies. Under suitable probabilistic assumptions, we would like to find out whether we can use the observed or empirical error of  $f$  on the movies for which Alice has already provided preferences, to estimate the error of  $f$  in predicting her preferences on new movies.

**Problem.** More specifically, the problem we are interested in can be described as follows. There is an instance space  $X$  from which instances are drawn, and a set  $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  from which pair-wise preference labels are drawn. Assume  $n$  instances  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are drawn randomly and independently according to some (unknown) distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $X$ , and that for each pair  $(x_i, x_j)$  ( $i < j$ ), a preference label  $r_{ij}$  is drawn according to some (unknown) conditional distribution  $\mathcal{Q}$  on  $R$ . The empirical error of a ranking function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on these examples is given by

$$\widehat{L}_n(f) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \ell(f, (x_i, x_j, r_{ij})). \quad (2)$$

The expected error of  $f$  on a new pair of examples drawn according to the same distributions is given by

$$L(f) = \mathbf{E}_{(x, x') \sim \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}} [\mathbf{E}_{r \sim \mathcal{Q}(\cdot | (x, x'))} [\ell(f, (x, x', r))]]. \quad (3)$$

Can we estimate the expected error  $L(f)$  based on the empirical error  $\widehat{L}_n(f)$ ? In particular, can we derive a large deviation bound that provides an upper bound on the following probability (for  $\epsilon > 0$ ):

$$\mathbf{P} \left( \left| \widehat{L}_n(f) - L(f) \right| > \epsilon \right). \quad (4)$$

**Impact.** Such a bound can be useful in establishing generalization results for ranking algorithms in machine learning under more general probabilistic assumptions than those used to derive previous results.

**Special Cases.** It could be of interest to start with  $R = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

**Previous Results.** Large deviation bounds of the above type are known under the following probabilistic assumptions:

1. When the preference examples provided by Alice are of the same form as above, namely movies  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  together with pair-wise preferences  $r_{ij}$  for each  $i < j$ , but with  $r_{ij}$  given by

$$r_{ij} = y_i - y_j,$$

where  $y_i$  denotes a ‘relevance rating’ (e.g. 1 to 5 stars) for movie  $x_i$ , and where the movie-rating pairs  $(x_i, y_i)$  are drawn randomly and independently according to a joint distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $X \times Y$ , where  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is the set of possible relevance ratings. See [1, 2].

2. When the preference examples provided by Alice are of the same form as above, namely movies  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  together with pair-wise preferences  $r_{ij}$  for each  $i < j$ , but with  $r_{ij}$  given by

$$r_{ij} = \pi(x_i, x_j),$$

where  $\pi : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a deterministic ‘truth’ function, and where the movies  $x_i$  are drawn randomly and independently according to a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $X$ . See [3].

## References

- [1] S. Agarwal, T. Graepel, R. Herbrich, S. Har-Peled, and D. Roth. Generalization bounds for the area under the ROC curve. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6:393–425, 2005.
- [2] S. Agarwal and P. Niyogi. Generalization bounds for ranking algorithms via algorithmic stability. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 10:441–474, 2009.
- [3] C. Rudin and R. E. Schapire. Margin-based ranking and an equivalence between AdaBoost and RankBoost. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 10:2193–2232, 2009.