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## 1. Jordan Canonical Form

An $n \times n$ matrix $A$ can be decomposed as

$$
A=Q J Q^{*}
$$

where the matrix $J$ is a block diagonal matrix

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
J_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & J_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and each block $J_{r}$, for $r=1, \ldots, k$, has the form

$$
J_{r}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{r} & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & 1 \\
& & & \lambda_{r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $J_{r}$ is $n_{r} \times n_{r}$. This decomposition of $A$ is known as the Jordan canonical form.
The Jordan canonical form provides valuable information about the eigenvalues of $A$. The values $\lambda_{j}$, for $j=1, \ldots, k$, are the eigenvalues of $A$. For each distinct eigenvalue $\lambda$, the number of Jordan blocks having $\lambda$ as a diagonal element is equal to the number of linearly independent eigenvectors associated with $\lambda$. This number is called the geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda$. The sum of the sizes of all of these blocks is called the algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda$.

We now consider $J_{r}$ 's eigenvalues. We have

$$
\lambda\left(J_{r}\right)=\lambda_{r}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}
$$

where $\lambda_{r}$ is repeated $n_{r}$ times. But, because

$$
J_{r}-\lambda_{r} I=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & 1 \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a matrix of rank $n_{r}-1$, it follows that the homogeneous system $\left(J_{r}-\lambda_{r} I\right) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ has only one vector (up to a scalar multiple) for a solution, and therefore there is only one eigenvector associated with this Jordan block.
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The unique unit vector that solves $\left(J_{r}-\lambda_{r} I\right) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ is the vector $\mathbf{e}_{1}=[1,0, \ldots, 0]^{\top}$. Now, consider the matrix

$$
\left(J_{r}-\lambda_{r} I\right)^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & \ddots & 1 \\
& & & & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & \ddots & 1 \\
& & & & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\
& & & \ddots & 0 \\
& & & & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is easy to see that $\left(J_{r}-\lambda_{r} I\right)^{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}=0$. Continuing in this fashion, we can conclude that

$$
\left(J_{r}-\lambda_{r} I\right)^{k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=\mathbf{0}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n_{r}-1 .
$$

The Jordan form can be used to easily compute powers of a matrix. For example, one can easily show that

$$
A^{2}=Q J Q^{-1} Q J Q^{-1}=Q J^{2} Q
$$

and, in general,

$$
A^{k}=Q J^{k} Q
$$

Due to its structure, it is easy to compute powers of a Jordan block $J_{r}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{r}^{k} & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{r} & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & 1 \\
& & & \lambda_{r}
\end{array}\right]^{k} \\
& =\left(\lambda_{r} I+K\right)^{k}, \quad K=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & 1 \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j} \lambda_{r}^{k-j} K^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields, for $k>n_{r}$,

$$
J_{r}^{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\lambda_{r}^{k} & \binom{k}{1} \lambda_{r}^{k-1} & \binom{k}{2} \lambda_{r}^{k-2} & \cdots & \binom{k}{n_{r}-1} \lambda_{r}^{k-\left(n_{r}-1\right)} \\
& \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & & \lambda_{r}^{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For example,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda
\end{array}\right]^{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda^{3} & 3 \lambda^{2} & 3 \lambda \\
0 & \lambda^{3} & 3 \lambda^{2} \\
0 & 0 & \lambda^{3}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We now consider an application of the Jordan canonical form. Consider the system of differential equations

$$
\mathbf{y}^{\prime}(t)=A \mathbf{y}(t), \quad \mathbf{y}\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathbf{y}_{0}
$$

Using the Jordan form, we can rewrite this system as

$$
\mathbf{y}^{\prime}(t)=Q J Q^{-1} \mathbf{y}(t)
$$

Multiplying through by $Q^{-1}$ yields

$$
Q^{-1} \mathbf{y}^{\prime}(t)=J Q^{-1} \mathbf{y}(t)
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\mathbf{z}^{\prime}(t)=J \mathbf{z}(t),
$$

where $\mathbf{z}=Q^{-1} \mathbf{y}(t)$. This new system has the initial condition

$$
\mathbf{z}\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathbf{z}_{0}=Q^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{0}
$$

If we assume that $J$ is a diagonal matrix (which is true in the case where $A$ has a full set of linearly independent eigenvectors), then the system decouples into scalar equations of the form

$$
z_{i}^{\prime}(t)=\lambda_{i} z_{i}(t)
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ is an eigenvalue of $A$. This equation has the solution

$$
z_{i}(t)=e^{\lambda_{i}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} z_{i}(0),
$$

and therefore the solution to the original system is

$$
\mathbf{y}(t)=Q\left[\begin{array}{lll}
e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & e^{\lambda_{n}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}
\end{array}\right] Q^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{0} .
$$

## 2. Systems of Linear Equations

The main content of the course will be concerned with solving problems of the form

$$
A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}
$$

where $A$ is an $m \times n$ matrix of rank $r$. Of course, we cannot solve such problems generally, because the nature of the solution is influenced greatly by the relationship between $m, n$, and $r$. For example, if $m=n=r$, then there is a unique solution. On the other hand, if $m<n$ and $m=r$, then there are infinitely many solutions, and often we need to find the unique solution that satisfies certain constraints. This is the basis for linear programming. Finally, if $m>n$, there may not be a solution, in which case we need to solve a least squares problem to find the vector $\mathbf{x}$ that comes as close as possible, in some sense, to solving the problem.

## 3. Some Results Involving Norms

If $\|A\|<1$, then $\left\|A^{m}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\|A\|$ is a continuous function of the elements of $A$, it follows that $A^{m} \rightarrow 0$. However, if $\|A\|>1$, it does not follow that $\left\|A^{m}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. For example, suppose

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.99 & 10^{6} \\
0 & 0.99
\end{array}\right] .
$$

In this case, $\|A\|_{\infty}>1$, but because $\rho(A)<1$, there must exist some norm $\|A\|_{\alpha}$ such that $\|A\|_{\alpha}<1$.

For matrices $A$ such that $\|A\|<1$ for some natural norm, we also have the following result.
Theorem 1. If, for some natural norm, $\|A\|<1$, then
(a) $I-A$ is nonsingular
(b)

$$
\frac{1}{1+\|A\|} \leq\left\|(I-A)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\|A\|}
$$

Proof. (a) Assume $I-A$ is singular. Then, there exists a vector $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that $(I-A) \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$. Therefore $\mathbf{z}=A \mathbf{z}$ and

$$
\|\mathbf{z}\|=\|A \mathbf{z}\| \leq\|A\|\|\mathbf{z}\| .
$$

Therefore $\|A\| \geq 1$, which is a contradiction.
(b) Since $I=(I-A)(I-A)^{-1}$, we have

$$
\|I\| \leq\|(I-A)\|\left\|(I-A)^{-1}\right\|
$$

but since we are using a natural norm, $\|I\|=1$, so, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$
1 \leq(1+\|A\|)\left\|(I-A)^{-1}\right\|,
$$

thus proving the left inequality. For the right inequality, $(I-A)^{-1}(I-A)=I$ yields

$$
(I-A)^{-1}-(I-A)^{-1} A=I
$$

or

$$
(I-A)^{-1}=I+(I-A)^{-1} A .
$$

Taking norms, we obtain

$$
\left\|(I-A)^{-1}\right\| \leq 1+\|A\|\left\|(I-A)^{-1}\right\|
$$

which, by the fact that $\|A\|<1$, proves the right inequality true.

