Stochastic Differential Equations

Steven P. Lalley

May 30, 2012

1 SDEs: Definitions

1.1 Stochastic differential equations

Many important continuous-time Markov processes — for instance, the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and the Bessel processes — can be defined as solutions to *stochastic differential equations* with drift and diffusion coefficients that depend only on the current value of the process. The general form of such an equation (for a one-dimensional process with a one-dimensional driving Brownian motion) is

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t)\,dt + \sigma(X_t)\,dW_t, \tag{1}$$

where $\{W_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Wiener process.

Definition 1. Let $\{W_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and let $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the completion of the minimal filtration by null sets. A *strong solution* of the stochastic differential equation (1) with initial condition $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is an adapted process $X_t = X_t^x$ with continuous paths such that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \mu(X_s)\,ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)\,dW_s \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{2}$$

A *weak solution* of the stochastic differential equation (1) with initial condition x is a continuous stochastic process X_t defined on *some* probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ such that for some Wiener process W_t and some admissible filtration $\mathbb{F}$ the process $X(t)$ is adapted and satisfies the stochastic integral equation (2).

What distinguishes a strong solution from a weak solution is the requirement that it be adapted to the completion of the minimal filtration. This makes each random variable X_t a measurable function of the path $\{W_s\}_{s\leq t}$ of the driving Brownian motion. It turns out (as we will see) that for certain coefficient functions μ and σ, solutions to the stochastic integral equation equation (2) may exist for *some* Wiener processes and *some* admissible filtrations but not for others.

The existence of the integrals in (2) requires some degree of regularity on X_t and the functions μ and σ; in particular, it must be the case that for all $t \geq 0$, with probability one,

$$\int_0^t |\mu(X_s)|\,ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t \sigma^2(X_s)\,ds < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, the solution is required to exist for all $t < \infty$ with probability one. In fact, there are interesting cases of (1) for which solutions can be constructed up to a finite, possibly random time $T < \infty$, but not beyond; this often happens because the solution X_t *explodes* (that is, runs off to $\pm\infty$) in finite time.

2 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

2.1 Itô's existence/uniqueness theorem

The basic result, due to Itô, is that for *uniformly Lipschitz* functions $\mu(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ the stochastic differential equation (1) has strong solutions, and that for each initial value $X_0 = x$ the solution is unique.

Theorem 1. *Assume that $\mu : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ are uniformly Lipschitz, that is, there exists a constant $C < \infty$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|\mu(x) - \mu(y)| \leq C|x - y| \quad \textit{and} \tag{4}$$

$$|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \leq C|x - y|. \tag{5}$$

Then the stochastic differential equation (1) *has strong solutions: In particular, for any standard Brownian motion $\{W_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, any admissible filtration $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, and any initial value $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique adapted process $X_t = X_t^x$ with continuous paths such that*

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \mu(X_s)\, ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)\, dW_s \quad \textit{a.s.} \tag{6}$$

Furthermore, the solutions depend continuously on the initial data x, that is, the two-parameter process X_t^x is jointly continuous in t and x.

This parallels the main existence/uniqueness result for *ordinary* differential equations, or more generally finite systems of ordinary differential equations

$$x'(t) = F(x(t)), \tag{7}$$

which asserts that unique solutions exist for each initial value $x(0)$ provided the function F is uniformly Lipschitz. Without the hypothesis that the function F is Lipschitz, the theorem may fail in any number of ways, even for ordinary differential equations.

Example 1. Consider the equation $x' = 2\sqrt{|x|}$. This is the special case of equation (7) with $F(x) = \sqrt{x}$. This function fails the Lipschitz property at $x = 0$. Correspondingly, uniqueness of solutions fails for the initial value $x(0) = 0$: the functions

$$x(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) = t^2$$

are both solutions of the ordinary differential equation with initial value 0.

Example 2. Consider the equation $x' = x^2$, the special case of (7) where $F(x) = x^2$. The function F is C^∞, hence Lipschitz on any finite interval, but it is not uniformly Lipschitz, as uniformly Lipschitz functions cannot grow faster than linearly. For any initial value $x_0 > 0$, the function

$$x(t) = (x_0^{-1} - t)^{-1}$$

solves the differential equation and has the right initial value, and it can be shown that there is no other solution. The difficulty is that the function $x(t)$ blows up as $t \to 1/x_0$, so the solution does not exist for all time $t > 0$. The same difficulty can arise with stochastic differential equations whose coefficients grow too quickly: for stochastic differential equations, when solutions travel to $\pm\infty$ in finite time they are said to *explode*.

2.2 Gronwall inequalities

The proof of Theorem 1 will make use of several basic results concerning the solutions of simple differential inequalities due to Gronwall. These are also useful in the theory of ordinary differential equations.

Lemma 1. *Let $y(t)$ be a nonnegative function that satisfies the following condition: For some $T \leq \infty$ there exist constants $A, B \geq 0$ such that*

$$y(t) \leq A + B \int_0^t y(s)\, ds < \infty \quad \textit{for all} \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{8}$$

Then

$$y(t) \leq Ae^{Bt} \quad \textit{for all} \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $C := \int_0^T y(s)\, ds < \infty$ and that $T < \infty$. It then follows since y is nonnegative, that $y(t)$ is bounded by $D := A + BC$ on the interval $[0, T]$. Iterate the inequality (8) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
y(t) &\leq A + B \int_0^t y(s)\, ds \\
&\leq A + B \int_0^t (A + B) \int_0^s y(r)\, dr ds \\
&\leq A + BAt + B^2 \int_0^t \int_0^s (A + B \int_0^r y(q)\, dq) dr ds \\
&\leq A + BAt + B^2 At^2/2! + B^3 \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^r (A + B \int_0^q y(p)\, dp) dq dr ds \\
&\leq \cdots .
\end{aligned}$$

After k iterations, one has the first k terms in the series for Ae^{Bt} plus a $(k+1)-$fold iterated integral I_k. Because $y(t) \leq D$ on the interval $[0, T]$, the integral I_k is bounded by $B^k Dt^{k+1}/(k+1)!$. This converges to zero uniformly for $t \leq T$ as $k \to \infty$. Hence, inequality (9) follows. □

Lemma 2. *Let $y_n(t)$ be a sequence of nonnegative functions such that $y_0(t) \equiv C$ is constant and for some constant B,*

$$y_{n+1}(t) \leq B \int_0^t y_n(s)\, ds < \infty \quad \textit{for all} \quad t \leq T \quad \textit{and} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots . \tag{10}$$

Then

$$y_n(t) \leq CB^n t^n/n! \quad \textit{for all} \quad t \leq T. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Exercise. □

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1: Constant σ

It is instructive to first consider the special case where the function $\sigma(x) \equiv \sigma$ is constant. (This includes the possibility $\sigma \equiv 0$, which the stochastic differential equation reduces to an *ordinary* differential equation $x' = \mu(x)$.) In this case the Gronwall inequalities can be used *pathwise* to prove all three assertions of the theorem (existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence on initial conditions). First, uniqueness: suppose that for some initial value x there are two continuous solutions

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \mu(X_s)\, ds + \int_0^t \sigma\, dW_s \quad \text{and}$$
$$Y_t = x + \int_0^t \mu(Y_s)\, ds + \int_0^t \sigma\, dW_s.$$

Then the difference satisfies

$$Y_t - X_t = \int_0^t (\mu(Y_s) - \mu(X_s))\, ds,$$

and since the drift coefficient μ is uniformly Lipschitz, it follows that for some constant $B < \infty$,

$$|Y_t - X_t| \leq B \int_0^t |Y_s - X_s|\, ds$$

for all $t < \infty$. Lemma 1 now implies that $Y_t - X_t \equiv 0$. Thus, the stochastic differential equation can have at most one solution for any particular initial value x. A similar argument shows that solutions depend continuously on initial conditions $X_0 = x$.

Existence of solutions is proved by a variant of Picard's method of successive approximations. Fix an initial value x, and define a sequence of adapted process $X_n(t)$ by

$$X_0(t) = x \quad \text{and} \quad X_{n+1}(t) = x + \int_0^t \mu(X_n(s))\, ds + \sigma W(t).$$

The processes $X_n(t)$ are all well-defined and have continuous paths, by induction on n (using the hypothesis that the function $\mu(y)$ is continuous). The strategy will be to show that the sequence $X_n(t)$ converges uniformly on compact time intervals. It will then follow, by the dominated convergence theorem and the continuity of μ, that the limit process $X(t)$ solves the stochastic integral equation (6). Because $\mu(y)$ is Lipschitz,

$$|X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t)| \leq B \int_0^t |X_n(s) - X_{n-1}(s)|\, ds,$$

and so Lemma 2 implies that for any $T < \infty$,

$$|X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t)| \leq CB^n T^n / n! \quad \text{for all } t \leq T$$

It follows that the processes $X_n(t)$ converge uniformly on compact time intervals $[0, T]$, and therefore that the limit process $X(t)$ has continuous trajectories. □

2.4 Proof of Theorem 1. General Case: Existence

The proof of Theorem 1 in the general case is more complicated, because when differences of solutions or approximate solutions are taken, the Itô integrals no longer vanish. Thus, the Gronwall inequalities cannot be applied directly. Instead, we will use Gronwall to control second moments. Different arguments are needed for existence and uniqueness. Continuous dependence on initial conditions can be proved using arguments similar to those used for the uniqueness proof; the details are left as an exercise.

To prove existence of solutions we use the same iterative method as in the case of constant σ to generate approximate solutions:

$$X_0(t) = x \quad \text{and} \quad X_{n+1}(t) = x + \int_0^t \mu(X_n(s))\, ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_n(s))\, dW_s. \tag{12}$$

By induction, the processes $X_n(t)$ are well-defined and have continuous paths. The problem is to show that these converge uniformly on compact time intervals, and that the limit process is a solution to the stochastic differential equation.

First we will show that for each $t \geq 0$ the sequence of random variables $X_n(t)$ converges in L^2 to a random variable $X(t)$, necessarily in L^2. The first two terms of the sequence are $X_0(t) \equiv x$ and $X_1(t) = x + \mu(x)t + \sigma(x)W_t$; for both of these the random variables $X_j(t)$ are uniformly bounded in L^2 for t in any bounded interval $[0, T]$, and so for each $T < \infty$ there exists $C = C_T < \infty$ such that

$$E(X_1(t) - X_0(t))^2 \leq C \quad \text{for all } \ t \leq T.$$

Now by hypothesis, the functions μ and σ are uniformly Lipschitz, and hence, for a suitable constant $B < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(X_n(t)) - \mu(X_{n-1}(t))| &\leq B|X_n(t) - X_{n-1}(t)| \quad \text{and} \\ |\sigma(X_n(t)) - \sigma(X_{n-1}(t))| &\leq B|X_n(t) - X_{n-1}(t)| \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Thus, by Cauchy-Schwartz and the Itô isometry, together with the elementary inequality $(x+y)^2 \leq 2x^2 + 2y^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} E|X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t)|^2 &\leq E\left(\int_0^t (\mu(X_n(s)) - \mu(X_{n-1}(s))\,ds + \int_0^t (\sigma(X_n(s)) - \sigma(X_{n-1}(s)))\,dW_s\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2E\left(\int_0^t (\mu(X_n(s)) - \mu(X_{n-1}(s))\,ds\right)^2 + 2E\left(\int_0^t (\sigma(X_n(s)) - \sigma(X_{n-1}(s))\,dW_s\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2B^2 E\left(\int_0^t |X_n(s) - X_{n-1}(s)|\,ds\right)^2 + 2B^2 \int_0^t E|X_n(s) - X_{n-1}(s)|^2\,ds \\ &\leq 2B^2 E\left(t\int_0^t |X_n(s) - X_{n-1}(s)|^2\,ds\right) + 2B^2 \int_0^t E|X_n(s) - X_{n-1}(s)|^2\,ds \\ &\leq 2B^2(T+1)\int_0^t E|X_n(s) - X_{n-1}(s)|^2\,ds \ \ \forall\, t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 now applies to $y_n(t) := E|X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t)|^2$ (recall that $E|X_1(t) - X_0(t)|^2 \leq C = C_T$ for all $t \leq T$), yielding

$$E(X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t))^2 \leq C(4B^2 + 4B^2T)^n/n! \quad \forall\, t \leq T. \tag{14}$$

This clearly implies that for each $t \leq T$ the random variables $X_n(t)$ converge in L^2. Furthermore, this L^2-convergence is uniform for $t \leq T$ (because the bounds in (14) hold uniformly for $t \leq T$), and the limit random variables $X(t) := L^2 - \lim_{n\to\infty} X_n(t)$ are bounded in L^2 for $t \leq T$.

It remains to show that the limit process $X(t)$ satisfies the stochastic differential equation (6). To this end, consider the random variables $\mu(X_n(t))$ and $\sigma(X_n(t))$. Since $X_n(t) \to X(t)$ in L^2, the Lipschitz bounds (13) imply that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(E|\mu(X_n(t)) - \mu(X(t))|^2 + E|\sigma(X_n(t)) - \sigma(X(t))|^2\right) = 0$$

uniformly for $t \leq T$. Hence, by the Itô isometry,

$$L^2 - \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t \sigma(X_n(s))\,dW_s = \int_0^t \sigma(X(s))\,dW_s$$

for each $t \leq T$. Similarly, by Cauchy-Schwartz and Fubini,

$$L^2 - \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t \mu(X_n(s))\,ds = \int_0^t \mu(X(s))\,ds.$$

Thus, (12) implies that

$$X(t) = x + \int_0^t \mu(X(s))\,ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s))\,dW_s.$$

This shows that the process $X(t)$ satisfies the stochastic integral equation (6). Both of the integrals in this equation are continuous in t, and therefore so is $X(t)$.

□

2.5 Proof of Theorem 1. General Case: Uniqueness

Suppose as before that for some initial value x there are two continuous solutions

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \mu(X_s)\,ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)\,dW_s \quad \text{and}$$
$$Y_t = x + \int_0^t \mu(Y_s)\,ds + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_s)\,dW_s.$$

Then the difference satisfies

$$Y_t - X_t = \int_0^t (\mu(Y_s) - \mu(X_s))\,ds + \int_0^t (\sigma(Y_s) - \sigma(X_s))\,dW_s \tag{15}$$

Although the second integral cannot be bounded pathwise, its second moment can be bounded, since $\sigma(y)$ is Lipschitz:

$$E\left\{\int_0^t (\sigma(Y_s) - \sigma(X_s))\,dW_s\right\}^2 \leq B^2 \int_0^t E(Y_s - X_s)^2\,ds,$$

where B is the Lipschitz constant. Of course, we have no way of knowing that the expectations $E(Y_s - X_s^2)$ are finite, so the integral on the right side of the inequality may be ∞. Nevertheless, taking second moments on both sides of (15), using the inequality $(a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$E(Y_t - X_t)^2 \leq (2B^2 + 2B^2T) \int_0^t E(Y_s - X_s)^2\,ds$$

If the function $f(t) := E(Y_t - X_t)^2$ were known to be finite and integrable on compact time intervals, then the Gronwall inequality (9) would imply that $f(t) \equiv 0$, and the proof of uniqueness would be complete.[1] To circumvent this difficulty, we use a localization argument: Define the stopping time

$$\tau := \tau_A = \inf\{t \,:\, X_t^2 + Y_t^2 \geq A\}.$$

Since X_t and Y_t are defined and continuous for all t, they are a.s. bounded on compact time intervals, and so $\tau_A \to \infty$ as $A \to \infty$. Hence, with probability one, $t \wedge \tau_A = t$ for all sufficiently large A. Next, starting from the identity (15), stopping at time $\tau = \tau_A$, and proceeding as in the last paragraph, we obtain

$$E(Y_{t\wedge\tau} - X_{t\wedge\tau})^2 \leq (2B^2 + 2B^2T) \int_0^t E(Y_{s\wedge\tau} - X_{s\wedge\tau})^2\,ds \quad \text{for all } t \leq T$$

[1] OKSENDAL seems to have fallen prey to this trap: In his proof of Theorem 5.2.1 he fails to check that the second moment is finite.

By definition of τ, both sides are finite, and so Gronwall's inequality (9) implies that

$$E(Y_{t\wedge\tau} - X_{t\wedge\tau})^2 = 0$$

Since this is true for every $\tau = \tau_A$, it follows that $X_t = Y_t$ a.s., for each $t \geq 0$. SInce X_t and Y_t have continuous sample paths, it follows that with probability one, $X_t = Y_t$ for all $t \geq 0$. A similar argument proves continuous dependence on initial conditions.

□

3 Example: Tanaka's Equation

Tanaka's equation is the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \operatorname{sgn}(X_t)\, dW_t. \tag{16}$$

The function $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ is not Lipschitz, so Itô's existence/uniqueness theorem does not apply to (16), and in fact this equation does not in general admit strong solutions, as we will now show.

Suppose that X_t is a process that satisfies Tanaka's stochastic differential equation. We do not assume that X_t is adapted to the completion of the minimal filtration for W_t, but only that it is adapted to some admissible filtration (this is necessary in order that the Itô integral $\int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(X_s)\, dW_s$ be well-defined). By the time-change theorem, X_t must itself be a standard Brownian motion. As such, it has a local time process $L_t = L(t; 0)$, and Tanaka's formula for local time implies that

$$|X_t| - 2L_t = \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(X_s)\, dX_s.$$

But the stochastic differential equation (16) implies that $dW_t = \operatorname{sgn}(X_t)\, dX_t$, so it follows that

$$W_t = |X_t| - 2L_t.$$

Thus, W_t is a measurable function of $(|X_t|, L_t)$. Now by Trotter's theorem, with probability 1

$$\begin{aligned} L_t &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}(X_s)\, ds \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0,\varepsilon]}(|X_s|)\, ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the local time process L_t is adapted to the completion $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$ of the minimal filtration of the reflecting Brownian motion $|X_t|$. It follows that W_t is adapted to the filtration $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$, and so, in particular, $\mathcal{F}_t^W \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$.

If X_t were a strong solution of Tanaka's stochastic differential equation then it would be adapted to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^W$, and consequently also to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$. But this is impossible, because the $\sigma-$algebras $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$ carry no information about the sign of the Brownian motion X_t: in particular, the random variable $\operatorname{sgn}(X_1)$ is not measurable with respect to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_1^{|X|}$.

4 Example: The Feller diffusion

The Feller diffusion $\{Y_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a continuous-time Markov process on the half-line $[0, \infty)$ with absorption at 0 that satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$dY_t = \sigma\sqrt{Y_t}\, dW_t \tag{17}$$

up until the time $\tau = \tau_0$ of the first visit to 0. Here $\sigma > 0$ is a positive parameter. The Itô existence/uniqueness theorem does not apply, at least directly, because the function $\sqrt{y}$ is not Lipschitz. However, the localization lemma of Itô calculus can be used in a routine fashion to show that for any initial value $y > 0$ there is a continuous process Y_t such that

$$Y_{t\wedge\tau} = y + \int_0^{t\wedge\tau} \sqrt{Y_s}\, dW_s \quad \text{where} \quad \tau = \inf\{t > 0 \,:\, Y_t = 0\}.$$

(Exercise: Fill in the details.)

The importance of the Feller diffusion stems from the fact that it is the natural continuous-time analogue[2] of the critical Galton-Watson process. The Galton-Watson process is a discrete-time Markov chain Z_n on the nonnegative integers that evolves according to the following rule: Given that $Z_n = k$ and any realization of the past up to time $n-1$, the random variable Z_{n+1} is distributed as the sum of k independent, identically distributed random variables with common distribution F, called the *offspring distribution*. The process is said to be *critical* if F has mean 1. Assume also that F has finite variance σ^2; then the evolution rule implies that the increment $Z_{n+1} - Z_n$ has conditional expectation 0 and conditional variance $\sigma^2 Z_n$, given the history of the process to time n. This corresponds to the stochastic differential equation (17), which roughly states that the increments of Y_t have conditional expectation 0 and conditional variance $\sigma^2 Y_t\, dt$, given $\mathcal{F}_t$.

A natural question to ask about the Feller diffusion is this: If $Y_0 = y > 0$, does the trajectory Y_t reach the endpoint 0 of the state space in finite time? (That is, is $\tau < \infty$ w.p.1?) To see that it does, consider the process $Y_t^{1/2}$. By Itô's formula, if Y_t satisfies (17), or more precisely, if it satisfies

$$Y_t = y + \int_0^t \sigma\sqrt{Y_s}\mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}(s)\, dW_s, \tag{18}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t^{1/2} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_t^{-1/2}dY_t - \frac{1}{8}Y_t^{-3/2}d[Y]_t \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{2}dW_t - \frac{1}{8}Y_t^{-1/2}\, dt \end{aligned}$$

up to time τ. Thus, up to the time of the first visit to 0 (if any), the process $Y_t^{1/2}$ is a Brownian motion plus a negative drift. Since a Brownian motion started at $\sqrt{y}$ will reach 0 in finite time, with probability one, so will $Y_t^{1/2}$.

Exercise 1. Scaling law for the Feller diffusion: Let Y_t be a solution of the integral equation (18) with volatility parameter $\sigma > 0$ and initial value $Y_0 = 1$.

(A) Show that for any $\alpha > 0$ the process

$$\tilde{Y}_t := \alpha^{-1} Y_{\alpha t} \tag{19}$$

is a Feller diffusion with initial value α^{-1} and volatility parameter σ.

(B) Use this to deduce a simple relationship between the distributions of the hitting time τ for the Feller diffusion under the different initial conditions $Y_0 = 1$ and $Y_0 = \alpha^{-1}$, respectively.

Exercise 2. Superposition law for the Feller diffusion: Let Y_t^A and Y_t^B be independent Feller diffusion processes with initial values $Y_0^A = \alpha$ and $Y_0^B = \beta$: In particular, assume that Y^A and Y^B satisfy stochastic integral equations (18) with respect to independent Brownian motions W^A and W^B. Define the *superposition* of Y^A and Y^B to be the process

$$Y_t^C := Y_t^A + Y_t^B.$$

[2]Actually, the Feller diffusion is more than just an analogue of the Galton-Watson process: It is a weak limit of rescaled Galton-Watson processes, in the same sense that Brownian Motion is a weak limit of rescaled random walks.

(A) Show that Y_t^C is a Feller diffusion with initial condition $Y_0^C = \alpha + \beta$.

(B) Use this to deduce a simple relationship among the hitting time distributions for the three processes.

Exercise 3. Zero is not an entrance boundary: The stochastic differential equation (17) has a *singularity* at the endpoint 0 of the state space, in the sense that the volatility $\sigma\sqrt{y}$ becomes 0 in a non-smooth manner as $y \to 0$. Equation (17) has solutions up to time τ for any initial value $Y_0 = y > 0$; however, it is unclear whether or not there are solutions Y_t of (17) such that $\lim_{t\to 0} Y_t = 0$. Use the scaling law to prove that there are no such solutions. HINT: Consider the time that it would take to get from 2^{-k-m} to 2^{-m}.