

A Lower Bound for Calls on Quadratic Variation

PETER CARR

*Head of Quantitative Financial Research, Bloomberg LP, New York
Director of the Masters Program in Math Finance, Courant Institute, NYU*

Assumptions and Notation

- The running assumptions are frictionless markets, no arbitrage, zero interest rates, and a positive continuous underlying futures price F .
- Let $X_t \equiv \ln(F_t/F_0)$ be the returns process and we suppose that its instantaneous (lognormal) variance σ_t^2 is an unspecified stochastic process which can jump.
- We will be interested in finding semi-robust bounds for European calls on F_T and European calls on the terminal quadratic variation of log price, $\langle X \rangle_T \equiv \int_0^T \sigma_t^2 dt$.
- The respective payoffs at T are $(F_T - K)^+$ and $(\langle X \rangle_T - H)^+$, where $K \geq 0$ and $H \geq 0$ are the strike prices.

Back to Black

- Although the futures price actually has unknown stochastic volatility, consider the process \hat{F} arising in the Black model with constant unit volatility:

$$\frac{d\hat{F}_t}{\hat{F}_t} = dW_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- Let $B^u(F, R)$ be the Black model value at time t of a claim with payoff $U(F_T)$ at T given that the underlying futures price $\hat{F}_t = F$ and the remaining time to maturity at t is $R > 0$:

$$B^u(F, R) \equiv \int_0^\infty U(G) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R G}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\ln(G) - [\ln(F) - R/2]}{\sqrt{R}} \right]^2 \right\} dG.$$

- Let $B^c(F, R)$ denote the Black model value when $U(F) = (F - K)^+$.

Upper Bound on Call on Price

- Mykland shows that if one further assumes that the terminal quadratic variation of log price is bounded above by H , then no arbitrage places an upper bound on the initial price of a European call on F_T .
- The upper bound is the Black model value $B^c(F_0, R)$ evaluated at $R = H$.
- If the market initially prices the call at $C_0 > B^c(F_0, H)$, then the arbitrage is to sell the call and delta hedge by holding $\frac{\partial}{\partial F} B^c(F_t, H - \langle X \rangle_t)$ futures at each $t \in [0, T]$.
- The terminal profit is:

$$\Pi_T = C_0 - B^c(F_0, H) + B^c(F_T, H - \langle X \rangle_T) - (F_T - K)^+ \geq 0.$$

Lower Bound on Call on QV

- Now suppose that there is no upper bound on terminal quadratic variation.
- Also suppose that there exists a continuum of European options whose initial prices $P_0(K, T)$ and $C_0(K, T)$ are known for all $K > 0$ and are arbitrage-free.
- Under these assumptions, Bruno Dupire derived an observable lower bound for the value of a European call on quadratic variation.
- In these overheads, we present the details of his argument.

Twice Differentiable Convex Payoff

- Since the investor can take static finite or infinitesimal positions in European puts and calls written on the underlying asset, the investor can create any C^1 function $U(F_T)$ of the terminal futures price F_T .

- We will only work with a payoff function U that is C^2 and convex:

$$U''(F) \geq 0.$$

- We note that the requirement that U be C^2 actually requires that the investor be able to take an infinitesimal position in options.
- One can explore relaxing the C^2 requirement by replacing the payoff $U(F_T)$ at T with a convex payoff $V(F_{T'})$ at $T' > T$. The hope is that the conditional value of this payoff at T is convex in F_T . As unclear dynamical assumptions are needed to ensure this property, we don't explore this alternative today.

Two Separate P&L's

- Suppose that an investor initially sells a portfolio of European options whose aggregate payoff at T is the nonnegative convex function $U(\cdot)$ evaluated at F_T , i.e. $U(F_T)$. The terminal P&L from just this strategy is $E_0^{\mathbb{Q}}U(F_T) - U(F_T)$.
- For given $H > 0$, suppose that the investor initially deposits $B^u(F_0, H)$ in a riskfree asset and delta hedges as follows. At times $t \in [0, T]$ s.t. $\langle X \rangle_t < H$, the investor is long $\frac{\partial}{\partial F} B^u(F_t, H - \langle X \rangle_t)$ futures.
- Let τ_H be the first passage time of $\langle X \rangle$ to the strike H of the call on quadratic variation. If $\tau_H < T$, then at times $t \in [\tau_H, T]$ s.t. $\langle X \rangle_t \geq H$, the investor is long $U'(F_t)$ futures.
- The terminal P&L from just the portfolio of cash and futures is:

$$-B^u(F_0, H) + 1(\tau_H < T) \left[U(F_T) - \int_{\tau_H}^T U''(F_t) \frac{F_t^2}{2} d\langle X \rangle_t \right] + 1(\tau_H \geq T) B^u(F_T, H - \langle X \rangle_T).$$

Total P&L

- The last slide gave the separate terminal P&L's from:
 1. initially selling a portfolio of European options paying off $U(F_T)$ at T , and:
 2. depositing $B^u(F_0, H)$ in a riskfree asset and delta hedging by holding $\frac{\partial}{\partial F}B^u(F_t, H - \langle X \rangle_t)$ futures for $t \in [0, \tau_H \wedge T]$ and holding $U'(F_t)$ futures for $t \in [\tau_H, T]$ if $\tau_H < T$.

- Summing these P&L's leads to a total terminal $P\&L_T$ of:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_T = & E_0^{\mathbb{Q}}U(F_T) - B^u(F_0, H) - 1(\tau_H < T) \int_{\tau_H}^T U''(F_t) \frac{F_t^2}{2} d\langle X \rangle_t \\ & + 1(\tau_H \geq T)[B^u(F_T, H - \langle X \rangle_T) - U(F_T)]. \end{aligned}$$

- If $\tau_H \geq T$, then the terminal P&L is nonnegative, but if $\tau_H < T$, then the cash outflows generated by being short gamma without any offsetting time decay can lead to an overall loss.

Lower Bound on P&L

- Recall that selling a C^2 convex claim paying $U(F_T)$ and delta hedging at the realized vol for $t \in [\tau_H \wedge T]$ produces a terminal P&L of:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_T &= E_0^{\mathbb{Q}} U(F_T) - B^u(F_0, H) - \int_{\tau_H}^T U''(F_t) \frac{F_t^2}{2} d\langle X \rangle_t \\ &\quad + 1(\tau_H \geq T) [B^u(F_T, H - \langle X \rangle_T) - U(F_T)]. \end{aligned}$$

- To find a lower bound on this terminal P&L, suppose that the dollar convexity of U is bounded above:

$$U''(F)F^2 \leq M$$

for some $M > 0$. Also note that the assumed positive convexity of U causes the last term in the P&L to be nonnegative. Hence:

$$\Pi_T \geq E_0^{\mathbb{Q}} U(F_T) - B^u(F_0, H) - \frac{M}{2} (\langle X \rangle_T - H)^+,$$

since $1(\tau_H < T) \int_{\tau_H}^T d\langle X \rangle_t = (\langle X \rangle_T - H)^+$.

Hedging with Calls on QV

- Recall our lower bound on the P&L arising from selling a C^2 convex claim paying $U(F_T)$ and delta hedging at the realized vol for $t \in [\tau_H \wedge T]$:

$$\Pi_T \geq E_0^{\mathbb{Q}}U(F_T) - B^u(F_0, H) - \frac{M}{2}(\langle X \rangle_T - H)^+.$$

- Now suppose that an investor also initially buys $\frac{M}{2}$ calls on quadratic variation with the initial price being $\gamma_0(H, T)$ for each such call. Then the lower bound on terminal P&L changes to:

$$\Pi_T \geq E_0^{\mathbb{Q}}U(F_T) - B^u(F_0, H) - \frac{M}{2}\gamma_0(H, T).$$

If $\gamma_0(H, T) \leq \frac{2}{M}[E_0^{\mathbb{Q}}U(F_T) - B^u(F_0, H)]$, then the terminal P&L is nonnegative with positive probability of being positive and hence arbitrage results.

- Hence, no arbitrage $\Rightarrow \gamma_0(H, T) > \frac{2}{M}[E_0^{\mathbb{Q}}U(F_T) - B^u(F_0, H)]$.

Lower Bound on Calls on QV

- Recall that no arbitrage $\Rightarrow \gamma_0(H, T) > \frac{2}{M}[E_0^{\mathbb{Q}}U(F_T) - B^u(F_0, H)]$, where $\gamma_0(H, T)$ is the price of a call on QV with strike H and M is the maximum dollar convexity of the payoff U .
- One can try to maximize the call's lower bound over choice of the payoff function U . In a typical downward sloping skew, the solution is to sell all puts whose implied $\sigma^2 T$ is above H .
- Can we also get a robust upper bound on the value of a call on QV?