



## Edgeworth Series for Lattice Distributions

John E. Kolassa; Peter McCullagh

*Annals of Statistics*, Volume 18, Issue 2 (Jun., 1990), 981-985.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0090-5364%28199006%2918%3A2%3C981%3AESFLD%3E2.0.CO%3B2-A>

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

*Annals of Statistics* is published by Institute of Mathematical Statistics. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ims.html>.

---

*Annals of Statistics*

©1990 Institute of Mathematical Statistics

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact [jstor-info@umich.edu](mailto:jstor-info@umich.edu).

©2003 JSTOR

## EDGEWORTH SERIES FOR LATTICE DISTRIBUTIONS<sup>1</sup>

BY JOHN E. KOLASSA AND PETER McCULLAGH

*The University of Chicago*

This paper investigates the use of Edgeworth expansions for approximating the distribution function of the normalized sum of  $n$  independent and identically distributed lattice-valued random variables. We prove that the continuity-corrected Edgeworth series, using Sheppard-adjusted cumulants, is accurate to the same order in  $n$  as the usual Edgeworth approximation for continuous random variables. Finally, as a partial justification of the Sheppard adjustments, it is shown that if a continuous random variable  $Y$  is rounded into a discrete part  $D$  and a truncation error  $U$ , such that  $Y = D + U$ , then under suitable limiting conditions the truncation error is approximately uniformly distributed and independent of  $Y$ , but not independent of  $D$ .

**1. Introduction.** Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed random variables having finite cumulants  $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r$  up to order  $r \geq 2$ . Define the standardized sum

$$S_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - n\kappa_1}{\sqrt{n}},$$

so that  $S_n$  has mean zero, variance  $\kappa_2$  and higher-order cumulants  $\kappa_\nu^n = \kappa_\nu/n^{\nu/2-1}$  for  $2 \leq \nu \leq r$ . Let  $\kappa^n = (0, \kappa_2^n, \dots, \kappa_r^n)$  represent these cumulants of  $S_n$ . We aim in this paper to produce a simple approximation to the cumulative distribution function

$$F_n(t) = \text{pr}(S_n \leq t)$$

in the case where the components  $X_i$  are discrete random variables taking values on a lattice with span  $d$ . The approximation is required to have properties similar to those of the usual Edgeworth series, namely that, for large  $n$ , the error should be of order  $o(n^{1-r/2})$  uniformly in  $t$ .

Evidently  $F_n(t)$  is discontinuous with jumps of order  $O(n^{-1/2})$  at the support points of  $S_n$ . Consequently, we should not expect any continuous function to approximate  $F_n(t)$  with uniform accuracy in any nontrivial interval of  $t$ -values. Esseen's (1945) approximation is the sum of a continuous part, which is an ordinary (continuous) Edgeworth series, and a discontinuous part that is periodic with period equal to  $d/\sqrt{n}$ . To be specific, Esseen has shown that, for large  $n$ ,

$$(1) \quad F_n(t) = E_{n,r}(t; \kappa) + D_{n,r}(t; \kappa) + o(n^{1-r/2})$$

---

Received November 1987; revised May 1989.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by NSF grants number RCD-8651782 to John Kolassa and DMS-88-01853 to Peter McCullagh. The manuscript was prepared using computer facilities supported in part by NSF grants DMS-8601732 and DMS-8404941 to the Department of Statistics at The University of Chicago.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 62E20; secondary 60F05.

Key words and phrases. Cumulant, Edgeworth series, lattice distribution, rounding error, Sheppard correction.

uniformly in  $t$ . In Esseen's approximation  $E_{n,r}(t; \kappa)$  is the usual integrated Edgeworth series with cumulants  $\kappa_2, \kappa_3/n^{1/2}, \dots, \kappa_r/n^{r/2-1}$ . The discontinuous part  $D_{n,r}(t; \kappa)$ , which is periodic, is given in Section 2.

The purpose of the present paper is to show that the discontinuous part in Esseen's approximation can be incorporated into the continuous part by means of a suitable adjustment of the cumulants. To be precise, we show that if  $t$  is a lattice point for  $S_n$ , then

$$(2) \quad E_{n,r}(t^+; \gamma) = E_{n,r}(t^+; \kappa) + D_{n,r}(t^+; \kappa) + o(n^{1-r/2}),$$

where  $t^+ = t + d/2\sqrt{n}$  is the continuity-corrected point and

$$\gamma_s = \begin{cases} \kappa_s & \text{for } s > 1 \text{ and odd,} \\ \kappa_s - \varepsilon_s d^s/n & \text{for } s \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

are the Sheppard-corrected cumulants. The adjustment  $\varepsilon_s$  is the  $s$ th cumulant of a uniform random variable  $U$  on  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . In particular,  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{12}$  and  $\varepsilon_4 = -\frac{1}{120}$ . The continuity correction is in fact the Sheppard correction of order 1.

It is most important to emphasize at the outset that the adjusted cumulants are *not* simply related to the cumulants of the sum  $S_n + dU/\sqrt{n}$  if  $S_n$  and  $U$  are regarded as independent. This sum has an absolutely continuous distribution, but, because of the discontinuities in the density, it cannot be approximated with the required asymptotic accuracy by means of a continuous Edgeworth series. It may at first seem rather counter-intuitive, but in fact the Sheppard-adjusted cumulants

$$\gamma_s^n = \frac{\gamma_s}{n^{s/2-1}} = \frac{\kappa_s}{n^{s/2-1}} - \frac{\varepsilon_s d^s}{n^{s/2}}$$

are the cumulants of  $S_n$  minus the cumulants of  $dU/\sqrt{n}$ . Let  $\gamma^n$  represent the collection of these cumulants. Thus, the naive adjustments, apart from the continuity correction, are of the right magnitude, but in precisely the wrong direction. The correct adjustment, at least to order  $O(n^{-1})$ , would be obtained if we were to take  $\text{cov}(S_n, U) = -\text{var}(U)d/n^{1/2}$ , but the justification for this assumption is not immediately apparent. An argument is presented in Section 3, justifying this choice.

**2. Edgeworth series.** Let the random variables  $X_i$  and  $S_n$  be defined as in Section 1. We begin by formally defining the Edgeworth series to an arbitrary order. Let  $P[z]$  be the set of formal power series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j z^j$ , in which the coefficients  $\alpha_j$  are polynomials in  $1/\sqrt{n}$ . Consider this to be the set of conceivable moment generating functions, convergent or not; the  $\alpha_j$  are the possible cumulants. Let  $\psi_{r,t}: P[z] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the function that maps  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j z^j$  to the series

$$\alpha_0 \Phi(t, \kappa_2) - \phi(t, \kappa_2) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j h_{j-1}(t, \kappa_2),$$

evaluated at  $t$  and discarding all terms of order  $o(n^{1-r/2})$ . Here  $\Phi(t; \kappa_2)$  and  $\phi(t; \kappa_2)$  are the normal integral and density respectively, both using mean zero

and variance  $\kappa_2$ . The Hermite polynomials  $h_r(t; \kappa_2)$  are given by

$$h_r(t; \kappa_2) = \kappa_2^{-r/2} h_r(t/\kappa_2^{1/2}; 1),$$

where  $h_r(t; 1)$  is the standard Hermite polynomial  $(-1)^r \phi^{(r)}(t; 1)/\phi(t; 1)$ . Differentiation is with respect to  $t$ . Effectively, we apply the Fourier inversion operation termwise, and discard terms that are small enough. This function represents evaluation of the Edgeworth series:

$$E_{1,r}(t, \kappa^n) = E_{n,r}(t, \kappa) = \psi_{r,t} \left( \exp \left[ \sum_{\nu=3}^r \frac{1}{\nu!} \kappa_\nu^n z^\nu \right] \right).$$

Bhattacharya and Rao [(1976), page 215] show that under Cramér’s condition,

$$(3) \quad F_n(t) = E_{n,r}(t; \kappa) + o(n^{1-r/2}),$$

uniformly in  $t$ . Unfortunately, Cramér’s condition does not apply in the present case, since the random variables considered here are confined to a lattice.

Assume for the sake of algebraic simplification that  $X_i$  are integer-valued with lattice span  $d = 1$  and that  $\kappa_1 = 0$ . It follows then that  $S_n$  is supported on the points  $0, \pm n^{-1/2}, \pm 2n^{-1/2}, \dots$ . Esseen’s series for  $D_{n,r}(t; \kappa)$  may then be written in the form

$$(4) \quad D_{n,r}(t; \kappa) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{r-2} g_\nu n^{-\nu/2} Q_\nu(n^{1/2}t) E_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(t; \kappa),$$

where  $E_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(t; \kappa)$  is the  $\nu$ th derivative of the Edgeworth series, and

$$g_\nu = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \nu = 4k + 1 \text{ or } 4k + 2, \\ -1 & \text{if } \nu = 4k - 1 \text{ or } 4k, \end{cases}$$

$$Q_{2\nu}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi jx)}{2^{2\nu-1}(\pi j)^{2\nu}},$$

$$Q_{2\nu+1}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi jx)}{2^{2\nu}(\pi j)^{2\nu+1}}.$$

Evidently,  $Q_{2\nu}(x)$  is symmetric about  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ , whereas  $Q_{2\nu+1}(x)$  is antisymmetric and  $Q_{2\nu+1}(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$ . Also,  $g_{2\nu} = (-1)^{\nu+1}$ . At continuity-corrected points only the even-numbered terms contribute, giving

$$(5) \quad D_{n,r}(t^+; \kappa) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{[r/2-1]} (-1)^{\nu+1} n^{-\nu} Q_{2\nu}(\frac{1}{2}) E_{n,r}^{(2\nu)}(t^+; \kappa).$$

Note that this series decreases in whole powers of  $n$ . Bhattacharya and Rao [(1976), page 238] give a proof of Esseen’s result that if  $X$  is confined to the lattice with unit spacing almost surely, then

$$(6) \quad F_n(t) = E_{n,r}(t; \kappa) + D_{n,r}(t; \kappa) + o(n^{1-r/2})$$

uniformly for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , where  $D_{n,r}$  is defined in (4).

We now prove the claim made in (2) for general  $r$ . That is, we define the Edgeworth series using Sheppard-corrected cumulants:

$$E_{1,r}(t, \gamma^n) = \psi_{r,t} \left( \exp \left[ \sum_{\nu=3}^r \frac{1}{\nu!} \gamma_\nu^n z^\nu \right] \right),$$

the Edgeworth series with the  $\kappa_1^n, \dots, \kappa_r^n$  replaced by  $\gamma_1^n, \dots, \gamma_r^n$ , and the resulting terms of order  $o(n^{1-r/2})$  deleted. We claim that, when evaluated at continuity-corrected points, expression (6) differs from  $E_{1,r}(x; \gamma^n)$  by an amount no larger than  $o(n^{1-r/2})$ .

**THEOREM.**  $E_{1,r}(t^+, \gamma^n) = E_{1,r}(t^+; \kappa^n) + D_{n,r}(t^+; \kappa) + o(n^{1-r/2})$  uniformly over continuity-corrected lattice points  $t^+$ .

**PROOF.** Note that  $\psi_{r,t}$  has the following linearity property:  $\psi_{r,t}(\alpha p(z) + \beta q(z)) = \alpha \psi_{r,t}(p(z)) + \beta \psi_{r,t}(q(z))$ . Also,  $\psi_{r,t}(z^s p(z)) = (-1)^s D^s \psi_{r,t}(p(z))$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,r}(t^+; \kappa^n) + D_{n,r}(t^+; \kappa) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} g_s n^{-s/2} Q_s(t^+) (-1)^s D^s E_{n,r-s}(t^+; \kappa^n) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} g_s n^{-s/2} Q_s(t^+) (-1)^s D^s \psi_{r-s,t^+} \left( \exp \left[ \sum_{\nu=3}^r \frac{1}{\nu!} \kappa_\nu^n z^\nu \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $t^+$  is a continuity-corrected point,  $Q_s(t^+) = g_s B_s(\frac{1}{2})/s!$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,r}(t^+; \kappa^n) + D_{n,r}(t^+; \kappa) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} n^{-s/2} \frac{1}{s!} B_s(\frac{1}{2}) \psi_{r,t^+} \left( z^s \exp \left[ \sum_{\nu=3}^r \frac{1}{\nu!} \kappa_\nu^n z^\nu \right] \right) \\ &= \psi_{r,t^+} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} n^{-s/2} \frac{1}{s!} B_s(\frac{1}{2}) z^s \exp \left[ \sum_{\nu=3}^r \frac{1}{\nu!} \kappa_\nu^n z^\nu \right] \right) \\ &= \psi_{r,t^+} \left( \exp \left[ \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{-B_l}{ll!} n^{-l/2} z^l \right] \exp \left[ \sum_{\nu=3}^r \frac{1}{\nu!} \kappa_\nu^n z^\nu \right] \right), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} n^{-s/2} \frac{1}{s!} B_s(\frac{1}{2}) z^s = \exp \left[ \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{-B_l}{ll!} n^{-l/2} z^l \right].$$

Here  $B_s$  and  $B_s(x)$  are Bernoulli numbers and polynomials, respectively. Sheppard's correction for the cumulant of order  $l$  is  $-B_l/(ln^l)$ . Let  $\gamma^n$  be the cumulants  $\kappa$  adjusted by Sheppard's correction. Hence,

$$E_{1,r}(t^+; \kappa^n) + D_{n,r}(t^+; \kappa) = \psi_{r,t^+} \left( \exp \left[ \sum_{\nu=3}^r \frac{1}{\nu!} \gamma_\nu^n z^\nu \right] \right) = E_{1,r}(t^+, \gamma^n).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**3. On the effect of rounding.** Let  $Y$  be a continuous random variable with density function  $f(y)$  on the real line. Suppose that  $D = \varepsilon \langle Y/\varepsilon \rangle$  is  $Y$  rounded to the nearest integer multiple of  $\varepsilon$ . Here  $\langle y \rangle$  denotes the integer nearest to  $y$ . The standardized difference or standardized rounding error  $U = (Y - D)/\varepsilon$  is a random variable on the interval  $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Evidently,  $U$  is a deterministic function of  $Y$  but not of  $D$ . Despite this deterministic relationship, we show here that under certain conditions on the derivatives of  $f(\cdot)$ ,  $Y$  and  $U$  are statistically independent to a high order of approximation for small  $\varepsilon$ . By contrast,  $D$  and  $U$  are not independent beyond a crude first order approximation.

LEMMA. Suppose that the first  $2\nu$  derivatives of  $f(y)$  are integrable over  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Then the joint characteristic function  $\psi(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $(Y, U)$  satisfies

$$(7) \quad \psi(\alpha, \beta) = \theta(\alpha)\phi(\beta) + O(\varepsilon^{2\nu})$$

for small  $\varepsilon$ . Moreover, the characteristic function  $\phi(\beta)$  of  $U$  satisfies

$$\phi(\beta) = \frac{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}i\beta)}{\frac{1}{2}i\beta} + O(\varepsilon^{2\nu}),$$

showing that  $Y$  and  $U$  are asymptotically independent and  $U$  is uniformly distributed to the order indicated.

As a corollary,  $\text{cov}(U, D) = -\varepsilon/12 + O(\varepsilon^{2\nu})$ .

The Edgeworth series satisfies the conditions of the lemma for all  $\nu$  with  $\varepsilon = d/\sqrt{n}$ . Consequently, the error in (7) is smaller than any power of  $n$ , and may be called exponentially small.

PROOF. Expression (7), apart from the order of the error, has been derived by Wold (1934). The magnitude of the error can be determined using the method of Cramér [(1945), page 360].  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- BHATTACHARYA, R. N. and RAO, R. R. (1976). *Normal Approximation and Asymptotic Expansions*. Wiley, New York.
- CHAMBERS, J. M. (1967). On methods of asymptotic approximation for multivariate distributions. *Biometrika* **54** 367–383.
- CRAMÉR, H. (1945). *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton.
- ESSEEN, C. (1945). Fourier analysis of distribution functions. *Acta. Math.* **77** 1–125.
- McCULLAGH, P. (1987). *Tensor Methods in Statistics*. Chapman and Hall, London.
- WOLD, H. (1934). Sheppard's correction formulae in several variables. *Skand. Aktuarietidskrift* **17** 248–255.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS  
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
5734 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637