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DNA microarrays rely on hybridization to record the complete genomic signals that guide the progression of cellular processes, such as abundance levels of DNA, RNA and DNA-bound proteins on a genomic scale.
From Data Patterns to Principles of Nature

Alter, PNAS 103, 16063 (2006);

Kepler’s discovery of his first law of planetary motion from mathematical modeling of Brahe’s astronomical data:

Kepler, Astronomia Nova (Voegelinus, Heidelberg, 1609), reproduced by permission of the Harry Ransom Humanities Research Center of the University of Texas, Austin, TX).
“Asymmetric Hermite functions” reveal asymmetry in gel electrophoresis thermal broadening of RNA bands.

“Subnetworks” common or exclusive among multiple networks elucidate pathway-dependency of gene regulation.

“Subtensors” of data from different studies uncover independent processes and their causal coordination.
SVD Modeling of Genome-Wide mRNA Lengths Distribution Predicts a Physical Principle


Prediction: The peak of a moving RNA band is moving toward the front of the band and away from its back. Studies of DNA band broadening in gel electrophoresis showed different broadening of a moving than a stationary band, but did not suggest asymmetry.

Hypothesis: Two competing evolutionary forces determine the distribution of mRNA gene transcripts, in the manner of the restoring force of the harmonic oscillator.
Networks are Tensors of “Subnetworks”

The relations among the activities of genes, not only the activities of the genes alone, are known to be pathway-dependent, i.e., conditioned by the biological and experimental settings in which they are observed.
**Eigenvalue Decomposition (EVD)**

EVD formulates a \( \text{genes} \times \text{genes} \) nondirectional network as a linear superposition of \( \text{genes} \times \text{genes} \) decorrelated and decoupled rank-1 subnetworks, which can be associated with functionally independent pathways.

EVD of the network \( \hat{a}_1 \),

\[
\hat{a}_1 = \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_1^T = \hat{u}_1 \hat{e}_1^2 \hat{u}_1^T = \sum_{m=1}^{M_1} \epsilon_{1,m}^2 |\alpha_{1,m}\rangle \langle \alpha_{1,m}|,
\]

is computed from the SVD of the data signal \( \hat{e}_1 = \hat{u}_1 \hat{e}_1 \hat{v}_1^T \).

Yeast Cell Cycle mRNA Expression With Pheormone Synchronization

Math Variables → Biology

Significant EVD subnetworks → functionally independent pathways:

Pheromone Signaling Pathway

\[ KAR4 \parallel CIK1 \]

(\(a\))

Cell Cycle \(S \leftrightarrow M\)

\[ KAR4 \parallel -CIK1 \]

(\(b\))

Pheromone Arrest Exit & \(G_1\) Entry

Cell Cycle \(G_1 \leftrightarrow G_2\)
Interpretation of the Subnetworks: Probabilistic Associations by Annotations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Subnetwork</th>
<th>Most likely parallel association</th>
<th>$P$ value of parallel association</th>
<th>Most likely antiparallel association</th>
<th>$P$ value of antiparallel association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell Cycle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>S S</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-22}$</td>
<td>M/G1 S</td>
<td>$5.1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>G1 G1</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>G1 G2/M</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>S S</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>M/G1 S</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-25}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>G1 S</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>G1 G2/M</td>
<td>$5.7 \times 10^{-24}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pheromone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Up Up</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-53}$</td>
<td>Down Up</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-50}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Down Down</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>Down Up</td>
<td>$9.8 \times 10^{-17}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Down Down</td>
<td>$6.2 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>Down Down</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Down Down</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-32}$</td>
<td>Down Down</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $P$ value of a given association by annotation is calculated using combinatorics and assuming hypergeometric probability distribution of the $Y$ pairs of annotations among the $X$ pairs of genes, and of the subset of $y \subseteq Y$ pairs of annotations among the subset of $x \subseteq X = N(N - 1)/2$ pairs of genes with either largest and smallest levels of correlations in the subnetwork

$$P(x; y, Y, X) = \binom{X}{x}^{-1} \sum_{z=y}^{x} \binom{Y}{z} \binom{X-Y}{x-z}.$$ 

where $\binom{X}{x} = X!x!^{-1}(X - x)!^{-1}$ is the binomial coefficient.
A Comparative Higher-Order EVD (HOEVD) Formulates a series of networks as linear superpositions of decorrelated rank-1 subnetworks and the rank-2 couplings among them.

This HOEVD of the tensor of networks \( \{ \hat{a}_k \} \),

\[
\hat{a} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{a}_k = \hat{u} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{\epsilon}_k^2 \right) \hat{u}^T = \hat{u} \epsilon^2 \hat{v}^T,
\]

is computed from the SVD of the appended signals \( \hat{e} \equiv (\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \ldots, \hat{e}_K) = \hat{u} \epsilon \hat{v}^T \).

Cell Cycle Expression

Development and Cell Cycle Transcription Factors’ Binding
Math Operations → Biology

Boolean functions of subnetworks and couplings → pathway-dependent relations among genes common or exclusive among the networks:

**Known Relations:**
- Pheromone Response AND $G_1 \leftrightarrow G_2$
- AND Transition Between These
- $CLN2 \parallel -CIK1$

**Novel Relations:**
- Pheromone Response AND $G_1 \leftrightarrow G_2$
- AND NOT Transition Between These
- $CLB2 \parallel \pm TIP1$
A Higher-Order SVD

Linear transformation of the tensor data from \( \text{genes} \times x\)-settings \( \times y\)-settings space to reduced “eigenarrays” \( \times x\)-eigengenes” \( \times y\)-eigengenes” space.

This HOSVD is computed from each SVD of the data tensor unfolded along all axes perpendicular to one given axis,

\[
\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R} \times_a U \times_b V_x \times_c V_y.
\]


mRNA Expression From Cell Cycle Time Courses Under Different Conditions of Oxidative Stress

Shapira, Segal & Botstein, MBC 15, 5659 (2004); Spellman et al., MBC 9, 3273 (1998).
HOSVD for Integrative Data Analysis


The tensor data is a superposition of all rank-1 “subtensors,” i.e., outer products of an eigenarray, an $x$- and a $y$-eigengene,

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{a=1}^{LM} \sum_{b=1}^{L} \sum_{c=1}^{M} \mathcal{R}_{abc} S(a, b, c).$$

The “fraction” computed from the higher-order singular values, indicates the significance of the corresponding subtensor,

$$\mathcal{P}_{abc} = \frac{\mathcal{R}_{abc}^2}{\sum_{a=1}^{LM} \sum_{b=1}^{L} \sum_{c=1}^{M} \mathcal{R}_{abc}^2}.$$

The “normalized entropy” measures the complexity of the data tensor,

$$0 \leq d = \frac{-1}{2 \log(LM)} \sum_{a=1}^{LM} \sum_{b=1}^{L} \sum_{c=1}^{M} \mathcal{P}_{abc} \log(\mathcal{P}_{abc}) \leq 1.$$
Rotation in an Approximately Degenerate Eigenvector Space

The 2nd and 3rd \( y \)-eigengenes are approximately degenerate, i.e., not unique:

This HOSVD is reformulated with a unique orthogonal rotation of the 2nd and 3rd \( y \)-eigengenes under the constraint that the 3rd \( y \)-eigengene in the control time course is at steady state:
An “approximately degenerate subtensor space” is defined as that which is span by, e.g., the subtensors $S(a, b, c)$ and $S(k, b, c)$, which satisfy

$$|R_{abc}| \approx |R_{kbc}|.$$

This HOSVD is reformulated with a unique single rank-1 subtensor that is composed of these two subtensors,

$$R_{a+k,b,c}S(a+k, b, c) = R_{abc}S(a, b, c) + R_{kbc}S(k, b, c).$$
Math Variables → Biology

Significant sub tensors → independent biological programs or experimental phenomena:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S(k, l, m)$</th>
<th>$P_{klm}$</th>
<th>$R_{klm}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>&gt;0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Steady State

| 2,1,2        | 6%        | <0        |
| 2,2,1        | 3.3%      | >0        |
| 2,2,2        | 1%        | >0        |

Oxidative Stress in Time and Across Conditions

| 4,2+3,1      | 1.6%      | >0        |
| 3,2,2        | 1.4%      | <0        |
| 3,1,2        | 1%        | <0        |

Pheromone Responses
Math Operations → Biology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S(k, l, m)$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{P}_{klm}$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{R}_{klm}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5+2,1,3</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>&gt;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8+2,4,3</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
<td>&gt;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+7,2,3</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>&gt;0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HP vs. MD-Induced Expression


Classification identifies genes significant in terms of the information that they capture in each subtensor → global picture of time-dependence of HP vs. MD-induced expression:

The conserved genes *YKU70*, *MRE11*, *AIF1* and *ZWF1*, and the processes of retrotransposition, apoptosis and the oxidative pentose phosphate cycle that they are involved in, play significant, yet previously unrecognized, roles in the differential effects of HP and MD on cell cycle progression.
HOSVD uncovers independent data patterns across each variable and the interactions among them → global picture of the causal coordination among biological processes and experimental phenomena:

DNA ↔ RNA Correlation
Overexpression of binding targets of Mcm3, Mcm4 and Mcm7 correlates with expression in response to environmental stress and overexpression of oxidative stress activators-bound genes.

DNA damage and apoptosis, as caused by oxidative stress and overexpression of AIF1, inhibit binding of origins by degradation of the pre-replicative complex protein Cdc6.

Cocker et al., *Nature* 379, 180 (1996);

Overexpression of binding targets of replication initiation proteins correlates with reduced, or even inhibited, binding of the origins.

This correlation is equivalent to a recently discovered correlation between the binding of these proteins and reduced expression of adjacent genes, which might be due to a previously unknown mechanism of regulation.


This correlation is in agreement with the recent observation that reduced efficiency of activation of origins correlates with local transcription.

Donato, Chung & Tye, *PLoS Genet.* 2, E141 (2006);
An HO GSVD that extends to higher orders most of the mathematical properties of GSVD,

\[ D_1 = U_1 \Sigma_1 X^{-1}, \]
\[ D_2 = U_2 \Sigma_2 X^{-1}, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ D_N = U_N \Sigma_N X^{-1}. \]

GSVD is the only analysis tool to date that is not limited to comparison of orthologous or homologous genes.


Yeast


Human

Matrix & Tensor Models Will Enable a Future
where cellular processes could be controlled in real time and in vivo.

Cancer and disease could be stopped or reversed.
Damaged tissues could be engineered to regenerate.
Aging could be slowed or even halted altogether.

Today, NASA can control the trajectories of its spacecraft, because…

… their motion is understood and can be predicted mathematically.
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