STAT 309: MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATIONS I FALL 2021 PROBLEM SET 5

1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_p \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Write $B \coloneqq [\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_p] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$. (a) Show that $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are respectively solutions to

$$\min \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}_1\|_2, \dots, \min \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}_p\|_2 \tag{1.1}$$

if and only if $X \coloneqq [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ is a solution to

 $\min \|AX - B\|_{\mathsf{F}}.$

Hence write down a normal equation and a minimum norm solution (i.e., $||X||_{\mathsf{F}}$ is minimum) for the ordinary least squares problem with multiple right-hand sides (1.1) in terms of A and B. Prove your results. You should get Homework **2**, Problem **2**(c) as a special case.

(b) Generalize our proof of total least squares solution in the lectures to the case with multiple right-hand sides

$$\min\{\|[E,R]\|_{\mathsf{F}} : (A+E)X = B+R\}$$

and show that if

$$[A,B] = \begin{bmatrix} U_1, U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{\mathsf{T}} \\ V_2^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a singular value decomposition, then the solution is given by

$$X = -V_{12}V_{22}^{-1}, \qquad V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} V_{12} \\ V_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where we have assumed that $V_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is nonsingular.

- **2.** Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with rank $(A) = n \le m$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Suppose we solved the least squares problem $\min \|A\mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}\|_2$ using normal equation (assuming we are in one of these exceptional regimes where it is acceptable to use normal equation) and that we saved the Cholesky factor of $A^{\mathsf{T}}A$.
 - (a) Given a new row vector $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an additional value $d \in \mathbb{R}$ and that we want $|\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} d|$ to be simultaneously minimized, i.e.,

$$\min \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A \\ \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ d \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{2}.$$
 (2.2)

Show how we may take advantage of our solution of the earlier least squares problem to solve this new one. [*Hint*: Sherman–Morrison formula]

(b) Show how this process can be reversed. Assuming that that we have already obtained the least-squares solution to (2.2) using some *unspecified* method, i.e., you are not supposed to assume what method this is, only that you have a least squares solution of (2.2). Show how we may take advantage of this solution to obtain a solution of the least squares problem where the last row is deleted, i.e., min $||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||_2$.

Date: November 26, 2021 (Version 1.3); due: December 5, 2021.

3. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(A) = n \leq m$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(C) = p \leq n$, and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Consider the linearly constrained least squares problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2\\ \text{subject to} & C\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}. \end{array}$$
(3.3)

An alternative way to solve this is to consider the *penalty function*

$$f_r(\mathbf{x}) \coloneqq \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + r\|C\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2$$
(3.4)

for a sequence of values $r \to \infty$.

(a) For any fixed value r > 0, show that a minimizer \mathbf{x}_r of (3.4) is a solution to an ordinary least squares problem with normal equation

$$(A^{\mathsf{T}}A + rC^{\mathsf{T}}C)\mathbf{x} = A^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{b} + rC^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{d}$$

(b) Show that if $X \in GL(n)$ and $||X^{-1}||_2 < 1$, then

$$(I+X)^{-1} = X^{-1} - X^{-2} + X^{-3} - X^{-4} + \cdots$$
(3.5)

(c) Applying the Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula and (3.5), show that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\mathbf{x}_r=\mathbf{x}_s$$

where \mathbf{x}_* is the solution to (3.3) and also deduce that

$$\mathbf{x}_* = (A^{\mathsf{T}}A)^{-1}C^{\mathsf{T}}[C(A^{\mathsf{T}}A)^{-1}C^{\mathsf{T}}]^{-1}[\mathbf{d} - C(A^{\mathsf{T}}A)^{-1}A^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{b}] + (A^{\mathsf{T}}A)^{-1}A^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{b}.$$

4. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix partitioned into

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{21}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $A_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ invertible and $S = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{21}^{\mathsf{T}}$ the Schur complement. (a) Verify that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{21}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -A_{11}^{-1}A_{21}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$$

Deduce that A is positive definite if and only if A_{11} and S are both positive definite. (b) Show that

 $||A_{ij}||_2 \le ||A||_2, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}$

and deduce that if A is positive definite, then

$$\kappa_2(S) \le \kappa_2(A).$$

[*Hint:* Use Homework 4, Problem 6]

(c) Suppose A is positive definite, by considering the block Cholesky factorization

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}^{\mathsf{T}} & 0\\ R_{12}^{\mathsf{T}} & R_{22}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12}\\ 0 & R_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

or otherwise, show that

$$||A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}||_2 \le \kappa_2(A)^{1/2}$$

- **5.** Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ denote the matrix *p*-norm. Let $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
 - (a) Suppose $||M||_p < 1$. Show that $(I M)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a unique solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Show that for any $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the iterates

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = M\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

converge to **x**. [*Hint:* Use Homework 1, Problem 2]

(b) Show that

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}\|_p \le \frac{\|M\|_p^k}{1 - \|M\|_p} \|\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(0)}\|_p$$

where \mathbf{x} is the solution of $(I - M)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (c) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and define

$$\gamma_{1} \coloneqq \max_{\substack{j=1,\dots,n\\i \neq j}} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i \neq j}}^{n} \left| \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ii}} \right| < 1, \quad \gamma_{\infty} \coloneqq \max_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\j \neq i}} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^{n} \left| \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ii}} \right| < 1, \quad \gamma_{2} \coloneqq \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i \neq j}}^{n} \left| \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ii}} \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} < 1.$$

Show that if $\gamma_p < 1$ for p = 1, 2, or ∞ , then Jacobi method

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^n a_{ij} x_i^{(k)} \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

converges for any $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ to the unique solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ (note that the $p = \infty$ case we have covered in lectures). Furthermore,

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}\|_p \le \frac{\gamma_p^k}{1 - \gamma_p} \|\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(0)}\|_p$$

for $p = 1, 2, \infty$.